

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

DEC 28 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EDUARDO LOPEZ-HERNANDEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY,** Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-74384

Agency Nos. A75-728-620 A75-728-621 A78-111-931 A78-111-932

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 20, 2007***

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} Michael B. Mukasey is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto R. Gonzales, as Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Eduardo Lopez-Hernandez, his wife Elba Margarita Estrada, and their two daughters, seek review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals upholding an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying the parents' applications for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary determination that petitioners failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.